

FILED

JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

MAR 24 2008

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Attest: Geri M. Smith, Clerk

U.S. District Court

Northern District of Ohio

By: /S/Jennifer Smolinski

Deputy Clerk



2008 APR 14 PM 2:22

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1909

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-3)

On February 27, 2008, the Panel transferred 20 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See ___ F.Supp.2d ___ (J.P.M.L. 2008). With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of February 27, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

APR - 9 2008

ATTEST:
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1909

SCHEDULE CTO-3 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. DIV. C.A. #

CASE CAPTION

ARKANSAS EASTERN

ARE 4 08-179

Debra Penney Pilkington, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

CAN 3 08-1298

CAN 3 08-1367

CAN 3 08-1368

CAN 3 08-1369

**William Paschal, et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.
Orellene Seabold v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.
Amy Osborn, et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.
Montie Beckwith, et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.**

KANSAS

KS 2 08-2103

Chester Dlugopolski, II v. General Electric Co., et al.

LOUISIANA EASTERN

LAE 2 08-1231

Newman Benoit, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 08-1227

Shuin-Lin Yang, M.D., et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

TEXAS EASTERN

TXE 1 08-131

Richard Hudson, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.